1		D STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	sou	THERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
3		WESTERN DIVISION
4		
5		RICA, : CRIMINAL ACTION CR-1-02-054
6	Plaintiff,	: Cincinnati, Ohio : Wednesday, August 21, 2002
7	-vs-	<b>:</b> •
8	WALTER PUGH, JR, and TYREESE PUGH,	: MOTION TO SUPPRESS : AND FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE
9	Defendants	: 1:30 p.m.
10		
11		NSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
12	BEFORE THE HO	ONORABLE SUSAN J. DLOTT, JUDGE
13		<del></del>
14	For the Plaintiff:	
15		Amul Thapar, Esq. Asst. U.S. Attorney
16		Atrium II, Suite 400 221 East Fourth Street
17		Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
18	For the Defendant: (Walter Pugh, Jr.)	Pro Se
19	(Legal advisor)	J. Robert Andrews, Esq. Schuh & Goldberg
20		2662 Madison Road Cincinnati, Ohio 45208
21	(Tyreese Pugh)	Edward J. Felson, Esq.
22		Felson & Felson CBLD Center, Suite 1650
23		36 East Seventh Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202.
24	Law Clerk: Mike Rich	
25	Courtroom Deputy: Steve Snyder Court Reporter: Betty Schwab	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## **PROCEEDINGS**

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: The case is CR-1-02-54, United States of America v. Walter Pugh and Tyreese Pugh.

THE COURT: Good afternoon to everyone. here on the motion of Defendant Walter Pugh to suppress various evidence that he argues was obtained in violation of the Fourth Amendment.

In addition to the defendant Walter Pugh's motion, the Court just received Defendant's trial brief and a motion to extend time for filing Defendant's response to the suppression.

Has the government received a copy of those pleadings?

MS. CROSS: We have, Your Honor. We have no objection to the motion to extend time for filing of their response.

THE COURT: I'm having difficulty hearing you.

MS. CROSS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I will keep my voice up. We have received both the trial brief and the motion. We have no objection to extending time if the Court be so inclined for Mr. Pugh to file his response to our response to his reply.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Pugh, how much time would you like to file a written response?

DEFENDANT W. PUGH: I don't know, Judge, Your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

simple in this area.

Honor, because last Wednesday the United States came in and took out the computers out of the law library. At the Hamilton County Courthouse? THE COURT: DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: I don't think so. DEFENDANT W. PUGH: That's what I was told. THE COURT: I tell you what, I don't know for a fact, but we had -- the United States government has absolutely no jurisdiction in the Hamilton County Justice Center, and, in fact, if Sheriff Leis had let any federal government official in there to do anything, I would be shocked. So I think it's unlikely that it's the U.S. government that did it. I would suspect that it has to do with the sheriff's office. DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: But let me assure you of one thing, that Fourth Amendment law is pretty well settled. been established for a long time. It's relatively simple. I'm very familiar with it. I believe, based on what I have read of your motion and everything, that I think this is a factually intense matter. I think it depends more on the

There is really not much new in Fourth Amendment law, but, if you would like, you know, if you would like a

facts of what occurred than on the law. I think the law is

```
week to file something additional, you're welcome to do
 1
 2
     that.
               DEFENDANT W. PUGH:
                                   Thank you.
 3
               MR. ANDREWS: Your Honor, as -- just as his
 4
     advisor, I will contact Joe Schmidt, who is one of the
 5
 6
     senior people in the jail, and see what the problem is with
 7
     the computers and make sure that my client -- or make sure
 8
     that Mr. Pugh has access to what he needs.
 9
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you, Mr. Andrews.
10
               Why don't I have counsel enter their appearances
     for the record?
11
               MS. CROSS: Your Honor, Wende Cross, Your Honor,
12
     for the United States, along with Amul Thapar and Detective
13
     Jim Calhoun of the Hamilton Police Department.
14
               THE COURT: All right.
15
               MR. FELSON: Edward Felson for Mr. Pugh,
16
    Defendant Pugh, Jr.
17
                           That's Tyreese Pugh.
18
               THE COURT:
               MR. FELSON: Tyreese, yes.
19
20
               DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Walter Pugh, pro se.
21
               THE COURT: And you have with you your advisor?
22
               MR. ANDREWS: J. Robert Andrews acting in
23
     advisory capacity to Mr. Pugh.
24
               THE COURT: Although this is the defendant's
25
     motion, it's my practice to let the government proceed in
```

Page 5 of 71

these matters. So at this time I'll turn it over to the 1 2 government. MS. CROSS: Your Honor, just for clarification, 3 it's the position of the United States that Mr. Pugh has no 4 standing on three of the four issues in this case. He has 5 moved to suppress all physical evidence in this case 6 obtained from four locations, two vehicles, a home, and 7 then his own vehicle. It is the position of the United 8 States that he has no standing to challenge the searches of 9 the two vehicles owned by Kimberly Hinton and Bessie Pew, 10 and he has no standing to challenge the search of the 11 residence of Cortes Renfro, and it is our position that the 12 search of his 1989 Cadillac de Ville was valid pursuant to 13 14 a valid search warrant. We intend to call one witness on the issues 15 16 today. THE COURT: All right. 17 That is Detective Jim Calhoun. 18 MS. CROSS: 19 THE COURT: All right. You may call your 20 witness. (Witness sworn by the courtroom deputy.) 21 Counsel, let me say that we're sort 22 THE COURT: of experimenting today with the courtroom, because it's the 23 first time we have used it for a criminal proceeding since 24 it has been remodeled, and it's substantially different in

size, and also what we're trying to ascertain is how we 1 will conduct the trial with the defendants at counsel table 2 and Mr. Pugh representing himself from counsel table. 3 I'm not quite sure about standing and sitting. Let me ask you this, Mr. Pugh, is it difficult 5 for you to stand if you want to address the Court, or is 6 it -- is that possible? 7 DEFENDANT W. PUGH: It would be more comfortable 8 if I could sit down. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Second question for you. 10 for the trial, if you didn't have the leg irons on, would 11 you then be able to stand comfortably, or do you just 12 prefer to address the Court sitting from counsel table? 13 14 DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Sitting. THE COURT: Okay. All right. 15 Okay. You may proceed. In this proceeding, 16 Ms. Cross, I don't care if you sit or stand. 17 DEFENDANT W. PUGH: If I didn't have the cuffs 18 on, I prefer to stand. 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. That's what I'm asking, because I think, for the trial, we are not going to have 21 22 the -- I don't think -- I've still got to discuss this with the marshal, but I think we may not have the cuffs on you. 23 What we may do instead is use some kind of belt to make 24 sure that you're secure but that there is nothing visible 25

```
to the jury.
1
              You look like you're puzzled. Can I --
2
              MR. ANDREWS: I think he's, as I am, just trying
3
    to envision what that would be like. But we will cross
4
    that bridge when we get to it.
5
               THE COURT: Let me say this. I just used it in a
6
    trial, in the last criminal trial I had with Mr. Waagner, a
7
8
    defendant who was -- I quess he wasn't at the Hamilton
    County -- well, he was at Boone County. One of you is at
9
    Boone County, I know. And it worked really well. It
10
    didn't bother him. It wasn't uncomfortable. It fits under
11
    your shirt, goes around your waist, and it's not at all
12
13
    visible to the jury. And it sort of gives you freedom of
    movement. You can stand. You can walk, you know, a little
14
    bit, and stuff. So it's something to consider.
15
               And you want to ask me any questions about it?
16
              DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Not at this particular time.
17
               THE COURT: If you do, just let the Court know.
18
               All right. Ms. Cross, I'm sorry. You may
19
20
     proceed.
               MS. CROSS: Thank you, Your Honor. If it please
21
22
     the Court, I prefer to sit today.
               THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.
23
24
                             JIM CALHOUN
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
25
```

- 1 BY MS. CROSS:
- 2 Q. Please state your name for the record and spell your
- 3 | last name.
- 4 A. Jim Calhoun, C-a-l-h-o-u-n.
- 5 Q. Where and how are you employed?
- 6 A. I'm a detective with the City of Hamilton, Ohio Police
- 7 Department.
- 8 Q. And how long have you been so employed in that
- 9 | capacity?
- 10 A. As a detective for the past four years.
- 11 Q. How long have you been working in law enforcement?
- 12 A. For thirteen years now.
- 13 Q. Are you familiar with the events of a bank robbery in
- 14 | the City of Hamilton, Ohio, in April of 2002?
- 15 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 16 O. Were you involved in the investigation of that bank
- 17 | robbery in any way?
- 18 A. Yes, I was.
- 19 Q. What bank was robbed?
- 20 A. First National Bank Southwestern Ohio located at the
- 21 | corner of Peck and Williams Boulevard in the City of
- 22 | Hamilton, Ohio.
- 23 | Q. And what exact date was the robbery?
- 24 A. It was April 24th.
- 25 | Q. Based on your investigation, how was the robbery

Page 9 of 71

1 perpetrated?

- 2 A. Based on the investigation, what I learned was two
- 3 | male black subjects entered the bank, both carrying
- 4 firearms. They put the manager of the bank on the ground.
- 5 The tellers were told to empty their drawers into a trash
- 6 can that one of the males had picked up from inside the
- 7 bank. Then one teller was put on the ground. The second
- 8 | teller was taken into the vault of the bank, told to empty
- 9 the vault into the trash can. Both males then fled in a
- 10 | maroon Cutlass Ciera.
- 11 Q. Detective Calhoun, Defendant Walter Pugh has filed a
- 12 | motion to suppress all the physical evidence that was
- 13 obtained in this case. Were you responsible for obtaining
- 14 | any of the physical evidence in this case against him?
- 15 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 16 O. Briefly describe the areas from which you obtained
- 17 physical evidence.
- 18 A. From a maroon Olds Cutlass Ciera that I learned from
- 19 | the investigation belonged to Mr. Pugh's sister, Bessie,
- 20 | also from a maroon Cadillac Sedan de Ville that belonged to
- 21 Mr. Pugh, a little silver car -- I don't remember the make
- 22 and model -- that belonged to a Kimberly Hinton, and also
- 23 from a home that belonged to Cortes Renfro.
- 24 Q. Where is that home located; do you know?
- 25 A. I believe the area is Mt. Healthy. It's in Hamilton

- 1 | County just across the line south from the City of
- 2 Fairfield.
- 3 Q. Turning your attention to the evidence that you
- 4 obtained from the vehicle owned by Bessie Pew, do you
- 5 recall what physical evidence you obtained from that
- 6 | vehicle?
- 7 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 8 0. What?
- 9 A. In particular, it was a partially torn piece of a
- 10 | latex glove.
- 11 Q. Will you describe for the Court when you found that
- 12 and the circumstances surrounding how you came to search
- 13 | that vehicle?
- 14 A. We knew what kind of vehicle we were looking for from
- 15 descriptions given to us by bank employees. One of our
- 16 officers received information that a vehicle of that type
- 17 belonged to a Bessie Pew and that Walter Pugh had been in
- 18 that vehicle. Attempts had been made to locate that
- 19 vehicle by talking with Mr. Pugh's ex-girlfriend. We
- 20 | couldn't locate the vehicle. We were looking for it, and,
- 21 | subsequently, we located that vehicle the day following the
- 22 robbery.
- 23 | Q. April 25, 2002?
- 24 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 25 | Q. And when you located the vehicle, where was it?

- 1 A. It was on Gordon Smith Boulevard, which is in the City
- 2 of Hamilton. Myself and Detective Cifuentes followed the
- 3 | vehicle for probably a half hour or so. There was a female
- 4 | black driving the vehicle, and she made a couple of stops,
- one on Gordon Smith Boulevard to pick up two passengers,
- 6 and then another stop in downtown Hamilton at the One
- 7 Renaissance Center Building to drop off two passengers.
- 8 She then proceeded to a nursing home where she parked the
- 9 car and went into the nursing home. At that time, we
- 10 | believed she was going into work, so Detective Cifuentes
- 11 and myself headed on into town to find out who the people
- 12 were that she had dropped off at the building downtown.
- 13 Q. At the time, did you know who the driver of the
- 14 | vehicle was?
- 15 A. We were not positive who the driver of the vehicle
- 16 was. We believed it to be Bessie Pew, but at that time we
- 17 | weren't positive.
- 18 | O. Okay.
- 19 A. When we got back into town, I received a radio
- 20 dispatch informing me that Bessie Pew had called our
- 21 detective bureau and advised that she was on her way in and
- 22 | wanted to talk with us.
- 23 | Q. Did she, in fact, on that day, April 25, 2002, come
- 24 into the police station and speak with you?
- 25 A. Yes, ma'am. She beat me back into the police station.

```
1
     0.
          And tell the Court what happened when she arrived.
          When she arrived, I interviewed her. I asked her for
 2
     Α.
 3
     consent to search her vehicle, which she executed our
 4
     standard consent to search form. I searched the vehicle,
 5
     took photographs of the vehicle. And at that time I
 6
     located the partially torn latex glove underneath the
 7
     driver's seat of the vehicle. I took -- and Ms. Pew was
     with me the whole time while we searched the car.
 8
 9
          We went back in, and I interviewed her and took a
10
     written statement from her. I also showed her photographs
     that we recovered from the bank surveillance videotape, and
11
     she made an identification of one of those persons in the
12
13
     photograph.
          Who did she identify?
14
     0.
15
         Mr. Walter Pugh.
     Α.
               MS. CROSS: Your Honor, if I may show the witness
16
17
     Government Exhibit 1, which has been shown to Mr. Pugh.
                           Um-hum.
18
               THE COURT:
                                    That's fine. Do you have a
     copy for the Court of any of these exhibits?
19
20
               MS. CROSS: I do, Your Honor, and I'm looking for
     a copy for the Court, Your Honor. It is attached to our
21
22
     response which we filed.
23
               THE COURT: All right. You may proceed.
24
               MS. CROSS:
                           Thank you, Your Honor.
```

25 BY MS. CROSS:

- 1 Q. Detective Calhoun, I'm showing you what has been
- 2 | previously marked as Government's Exhibit Number 1. Do you
- 3 recognize that exhibit?
- 4 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 5 Q. What do you recognize it to be?
- 6 A. It is a copy of the original form, consent to search
- 7 form, that Ms. Pew and myself signed on April 25th of 2002
- 8 allowing me to search her '88 Olds Cutlass.
- 9 MS. CROSS: Your Honor, if there is no objection,
- 10 | I would move to admit Government Exhibit Number 1 into
- 11 | evidence.
- 12 THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Pugh?
- DEFENDANT W. PUGH: No, ma'am.
- 14 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 1 is admitted.
- 15 BY MS. CROSS:
- 16 | O. I believe that you stated that Ms. Pew gave you a
- 17 | statement?
- 18 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 19 | Q. And identified Walter Pugh from a photograph that you
- 20 | showed her?
- 21 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 22 | Q. During the course of your investigation, you had cause
- 23 to search a vehicle that was owned by Walter Pugh, correct?
- 24 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 25 Q. Will you tell -- explain to the Court how you came to

search that vehicle.

A. We were at the time looking for Mr. Walter Pugh.

3 There were warrants issued through Hamilton Municipal Court

4 | for aggravated robbery and theft. The vehicle, the --

5 excuse me. The Cadillac Sedan de Ville was registered to

6 Mr. Walter Pugh, and we had received information that he

7 was in that vehicle. Specifically, we received a phone

8 | call that he was in the vehicle on Front Street in

Hamilton, and I believe the date was May the 2nd.

I, immediately upon receiving that phone call, caused the radio broadcast to all of our patrol units to be on the lookout for the car in that area. Within five minutes, the vehicle was located parked on Beckett Street near Garden Street in the City of Hamilton, Ohio, unoccupied. The patrol units were instructed to stay back, and I placed plain clothes detectives in plain cars in the area to watch the vehicle for several hours, probably two hours or so. No one went to the vehicle.

Knowing that it was Mr. Pugh's car and receiving the information that he had been in it as recently as ten, 15 minutes before we found it, we towed the vehicle, impounded the vehicle. It was sealed. It was not opened, not searched at that time.

While the vehicle was being towed, I returned to our office, drafted an affidavit requesting a search warrant

- 1 | for the vehicle, went to one of our common pleas judges and
- 2 | had him review the affidavit, review the search warrant,
- 3 | and he signed it at that time. The search on the vehicle
- 4 was then executed within four hours of locating the
- 5 | vehicle.
- 6 | Q. You said that, when you first located the vehicle, you
- 7 | knew that it belonged to the defendant Walter Pugh?
- 8 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 9 Q. How did you know that?
- 10 A. The vehicle registration, license plates.
- 11 Q. What do you recall finding after you searched that
- 12 | vehicle?
- 13 A. A black bag containing ammunition for a 12-gauge
- 14 | shotgun, also for a 22 caliber weapon and a larger caliber
- 15 | rifle ammunition. I'm not positive of the caliber
- 16 | ammunition. I would have to look at it to be sure. We
- 17 | also got a walkie-talkie out of the vehicle. There was a
- 18 | empty box of Black & Mild cigars. There was a hotel
- 19 parking -- I guess you would call it a tag that hangs from
- 20 the rearview mirror for a hotel in downtown Atlanta. We
- 21 also took a paper that showed video rentals from a video
- 22 | rental place in downtown Atlanta, Georgia.
- 23 | Q. I believe it was your testimony that that evidence was
- 24 | obtained as a result of execution of a search warrant?
- 25 A. Yes, ma'am.

- 1 0. Who drafted the affidavit for the search warrant?
- 2 A. I did.
- 3 MS. CROSS: Your Honor, if I may at this time
- 4 have permission to show Government Exhibit Number 2 to the
- 5 | witness.
- 6 THE COURT: Yes, you may.
- 7 MS. CROSS: Again, Your Honor, this has been
- 8 shown to the defendant today, and it's attached to our
- 9 response.
- THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Cross.
- 11 BY MS. CROSS:
- 12 Q. Do you recognize Government Exhibit Number 2,
- 13 | Detective?
- 14 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 15 | O. What do you recognize it to be?
- 16 A. It is a three-page document containing the affidavit
- 17 requesting the search warrant, the actual search warrant
- 18 | signed by Judge Bressler in common pleas court, and then
- 19 the return of the search warrant listing the property that
- 20 was taken.
- 21 | Q. To whom did you make application to for the search
- 22 | warrant?
- 23 A. Judge H. J. Bressler.
- 24 Q. On what day and time?
- 25 A. It was the 2nd of May, 2002, 5 p.m. It's barely

readable underneath the certification stamp from the court. 1 2 0. What information did you convey to the state court judge in making application for the search warrant? 3 4 The information that's listed on the affidavit itself, and the judge also asked me a couple of questions about how 5 the identification of Mr. Pugh came about. 6 And what information did you provide? 7 Q. I told him the names of those that identified Mr. Pugh 8 Α. via the photographs from the bank. 9 MS. CROSS: Your Honor, if there is no objection, 10 we would ask permission to move into evidence Government 11 12 Exhibit Number 2. THE COURT: Mr. Pugh, any objection to admission 13 of the Government Exhibit 2? 14 DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Object, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: What's the basis for your objection? 16 DEFENDANT W. PUGH: On the video ticket that he 17 said he confiscated out of my car, it's nowhere on the 18 inventory sheet. 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. You can bring that out if you 21 want in cross-examination of this witness, but the issue here is are you objecting to the admission of the 22 23 affidavit, the search warrant and the return of the 24 affidavit that this witness has identified. It's just the

admission of the documents. It's just putting the

```
documents themselves into evidence. If you want to then
 1
    cross-examine the detective about why a specific item isn't
2
    on the return of inventory, you need that document in
 3
     evidence to do that.
 4
               DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Yes, ma'am.
 5
               THE COURT: So are you still objecting?
 6
               DEFENDANT W. PUGH: I withdraw my objection.
 7
               THE COURT: All right. Then Government Exhibit 2
 8
    will be admitted.
9
               MS. CROSS: Thank you, Your Honor.
10
    BY MS. CROSS:
11
          Detective, did you testify that the car was impounded
12
    prior to receiving the search warrant?
13
         Yes, ma'am.
14
    Α.
         Why was that done?
15
     0.
          To secure the vehicle. It was parked on a city
16
     street. We didn't know who had access to the vehicle, who
17
     had keys, who might come back to the vehicle. We didn't
18
     have resources to place a person watching it for another
19
     three hours. So the vehicle was impounded for safekeeping,
20
     to keep it secure and in the condition it was in on the
21
     street when we found it.
22
          Was the vehicle searched upon being impounded?
23
     Q.
```

How long was the car impounded prior to receiving the

24

25

Α.

Q.

No, ma'am.

1 | search warrant?

- 2 A. At the most, three, three-and-a-half hours.
- 3 Q. And was the information that the car had been
- 4 | impounded communicated to the judge in making application
- 5 | for the search warrant?
- 6 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 7 | Q. Turning your attention now to the third vehicle that
- 8 | was searched in this case, I believe you stated that was a
- 9 | vehicle that belonged to Kimberly Hinton?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 11 | O. Do you recall what day that search took place?
- 12 A. I do. It was very early in the morning on May the
- 13 | 3rd.
- 14 Q. Will you explain the events that took place from the
- 15 | time that you executed the search warrant on Mr. Pugh's
- 16 | vehicle on May 2nd, 2002, until this third vehicle was
- 17 | searched?
- 18 A. We were contacted by an informant who was to lead us
- 19 to Mr. Pugh. The informant took us to the area where
- 20 Mr. Pugh was, the exact house, showed it to us. It was in
- 21 | Hamilton County's jurisdiction, so I contacted Hamilton
- 22 | County Sheriff's Department to coordinate with them the
- 23 | events to surround the house. They activated their SWAT
- 24 | team because of the nature of the crime and the warrants
- 25 | for Mr. Pugh, and there were I don't know how many Hamilton

1.8

County sheriff's deputies, several Hamilton County sheriff's deputies. There were three detectives -- four detectives from the City of Hamilton Police Department and Special Agent Moran from the FBI there. We staged in the LoBill parking lot on Hamilton Avenue near the house where Mr. Pugh was located on Wincanton Road.

The little silver vehicle owned by Ms. Hinton pulled up into the house while the Hamilton County sheriff's deputies were surveilling it. Two subjects exited the house, got into the vehicle and were driving away. The vehicle was subsequently stopped by the Hamilton County Sheriff's Department. Located in the car in the rear seat was Mr. Walter Pugh. In the front passenger seat was Cortes Renfro, and the front driver was Kimberly Hinton.

Mr. Pugh was arrested pursuant to the warrants out of our department or out of our municipal court. Mr. Renfro was also arrested pursuant to warrants out of our municipal court. And Ms. Hinton was arrested pursuant to warrants through Hamilton County, City of Cincinnati, I believe.

- Q. Okay. Upon the arrest of Ms. Hinton, did she -- did anyone ask if they could search her vehicle?
- A. Yes. Myself and Agent Moran asked if we could search her vehicle. Ms. Hinton filled out, executed, a consent to search right there in the LoBill parking lot, and I searched the vehicle.

```
1
     0.
          When you're saying LoBill parking lot, is LoBill a
 2
     grocery store?
 3
          Yes, ma'am.
               MS. CROSS: Your Honor, if I may have permission
 4
 5
     to show the witness Government Exhibit Number 3.
 6
               THE COURT: Yes, of course.
     BY MS. CROSS:
 7
 8
     Q.
          Do you recognize Government Exhibit Number 3?
 9
     Α.
          Yes, I do.
10
     0.
          What do you recognize it to be?
11
     Α.
          It is a one-page document, a consent to search an
12
     automobile, signed by Kimberly Hinton and myself on May 3rd
13
     of 2002, allowing me to search her 2000 Plymouth Neon.
14
     0.
          Is that the consent form that you were testifying
     about that you had Ms. Hinton execute on the night of her
15
16
     arrest?
17
          Yes, ma'am.
     Α.
18
               MS. CROSS: Your Honor, if there is no objection,
19
     I would move to admit into evidence Government Exhibit
     Number 3.
20
21
               THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Pugh?
22
               THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.
23
               THE COURT: Okay. Government Exhibit Number 3
24
     will be admitted.
```

BY MS. CROSS:

- 1 Q. Upon Ms. Hinton executing that consent form, what
- 2 happened?
- 3 A. I searched the vehicle.
- 4 Q. What, if anything, did you find?
- 5 A. A multi-channel scanner located in the rear passenger
- 6 | compartment where Mr. Walter Pugh had been sitting.
- 7 Q. After the search of the vehicle and the arrest of all
- 8 three persons in the vehicle who were traveling in the
- 9 vehicle, what happened?
- 10 A. The Hamilton County Sheriff's deputies were talking
- 11 | with Mr. Renfro, getting information, intelligence, to
- 12 | supply to their officers about who was still in the house,
- 13 possible weapons, things of that nature that they would
- 14 | need to know before making entry into the home. Because,
- 15 | at the time, we believed that Tyreese Pugh was still in the
- 16 house, found out that he was indeed still in the house.
- 17 And the best course of action was to ask Mr. Renfro for
- 18 | consent to search his house, the easiest way to do it so to
- 19 | speak. And so he was asked for permission to search his
- 20 home, and he executed a consent to search for his home
- 21 | located at 11979 Wincanton Drive.
- 22 Q. Subsequent to his -- did he consent?
- 23 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 24 Q. Subsequent to his consent, was the consent form given
- 25 | to -- who was it given to?

```
1
     Α.
          It was given to me.
               MS. CROSS: And I ask permission at this time to
 2
 3
     show Government Exhibit Number 4.
 4
               THE COURT: Yes.
 5
               MS. CROSS: Which I believe is in front of the
 6
     witness.
 7
               THE COURT: All right.
 8
     BY MS. CROSS:
 9
          Detective, do you recognize that exhibit?
     Q.
10
     A. Yes, ma'am.
11
     ο.
          Will you tell the Court, for the record also, what
     that is?
12
13
     Α.
          It's a one-page document signed by Cortes Renfro and
14
     Sergeant Matthew Guy of the Hamilton County Sheriff's
15
     Department allowing a search to be made of 11979 Wincanton
16
     Drive.
17
     Q. Is that the exhibit that you received? Is that a copy
18
     of the exhibit that you received on the night of their
19
     arrests?
20
     A. Yes, ma'am.
21
               MS. CROSS: I move to admit Government Exhibit
     Number 4 at this time.
22
23
               THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Pugh?
24
               DEFENDANT W. PUGH: No, ma'am.
```

THE COURT: Government Exhibit Number 4 will be

```
admitted.
 1
     BY MS. CROSS:
 2
          When Mr. Renfro executed that form, what happened?
 3
     Q.
          The Hamilton County Sheriff's Department SWAT team
 4
     entered the home. At that time, Tyreese Pugh was located
 5
     in bed laying on top of a 12-gauge shotgun with his
 6
     girlfriend Stephanie Luster.
 7
               MS. CROSS: If I may have a moment, Your Honor,
8
 9
     to confer with counsel.
10
               THE COURT: Sure.
11
               (Pause.)
               MS. CROSS: Just a few more questions, Detective
12
13
     Calhoun.
14
     BY MS. CROSS:
          I just want to talk to you for a few minutes about the
15
16
     ownership of each of the vehicles that you searched
17
     beginning with Bessie Pew's vehicle. How did you find out
18
     it was her vehicle?
          Through the license plate registration, and also
19
     Ms. Pew told us that it was her car.
20
          What did you discuss with her, if anything, as to
21
     Q.
22
     where her vehicle had been during or about the time of this
23
     bank robbery?
24
          She actually, when she came to us, told us that she
```

believed her vehicle might have been used in a bank

- 1 robbery. That is what she had heard on the street.
- 2 | Q. And were there any discussions as to why she believed
- 3 that?
- 4 A. Yes, there were.
- 5 Q. What did she say?
- 6 A. We were told that her brother Walter had had her car
- 7 for the past three weeks approximately and that on April
- 8 24th he had appeared at her work telling her that he wanted
- 9 his car back, which she had been driving, told her that her
- 10 | car was parked in a parking lot in the Old Apple Creek
- 11 | Apartments, which is in Fairfield, Ohio, just south of the
- 12 City of Hamilton, but that her car was parked down there
- 13 and she could go get it.
- 14 Q. So, on April 24th, she returned his car to him,
- 15 referring to Mr. Pugh, Walter Pugh?
- 16 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 17 | O. And he had returned her car that he was using to her?
- 18 A. Correct. Actually, he didn't return it to her. He
- 19 | told her where it was. She had to go retrieve it.
- 20 Q. Regarding the ownership of Ms. Hinton's vehicle, how
- 21 | did you know that the vehicle belonged to her?
- 22 A. Again, license plate registration and the fact that
- 23 | she told us that it was her vehicle.
- 24 Q. At the time that Ms. Hinton stated that the vehicle
- 25 | that she was driving belonged to her, was the defendant

- 1 | Walter Pugh with her?
- 2 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 3 Q. Did he hear these things?
- 4 A. Well, by "with her," he was in the same area. He was
- 5 | in the rear of the Hamilton County Sheriff's Department
- 6 cruiser. I don't believe he would have heard the
- 7 | conversation that we had with her.
- 8 Q. At any time, did Walter Pugh that night tell you that
- 9 | that car belonged to him?
- 10 A. No, ma'am.
- MS. CROSS: Your Honor, I believe that's all the
- 12 questions I have.
- Thank you, Detective.
- 14 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Cross.
- Mr. Pugh, do you wish to cross-examine this
- 16 | witness?
- DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Yes, ma'am.
- THE COURT: Okay. You may proceed.
- 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 20 BY DEFENDANT W. PUGH:
- 21 Q. Detective Calhoun, where did you get the information
- 22 | that led you to Bessie Pew's car?
- 23 A. The information that -- what's her -- how did we come
- 24 | about a --
- 25 | Q. You said that you got the plates number. You told the

- prosecution that you received Bessie Pew's plate number.
- 2 Where did you receive the plate numbers from?
- 3 A. No, sir, I don't believe I ever said I received the
- 4 plate number for Bessie Pew's car.
- 5 | Q. So what led you to Bessie Pew's car?
- 6 A. One of our officers received information that you were
- 7 | in a car matching the description of the car from the bank
- 8 robbery.
- 9 Q. Was it the Cadillac?
- 10 A. No, sir. It was a Olds Cutlass Ciera, maroon in
- 11 | color.
- 12 | O. On the 24th?
- 13 A. On the 24th did I receive the --
- 14 | O. On the 24th of April, 2002, I was driving Bessie Pew's
- 15 | car?
- 16 A. At the bank robbery, yes, sir, that was the
- 17 information.
- 18 Q. That's what an officer told you?
- 19 A. No. We received the information on the 25th, early
- 20 morning.
- 21 Q. Thank you.
- THE COURT: Mr. Pugh, why don't you pull the
- 23 | microphone a little closer? You can move it. It would be
- 24 more comfortable for you. Good.
- 25 BY DEFENDANT W. PUGH:

- 1 Q. And you received this information from Bessie Pew that
- 2 | I was driving her car on the 24th?
- 3 A. She told me that you had her car up until the
- 4 | afternoon of the 24th, yes.
- 5 | Q. How could I have her car up to the afternoon on the
- 6 24th when you stated that I told her when I picked her car
- 7 up that her car was parked in Fairfield somewhere?
- 8 A. I don't --
- 9 Q. You stated that. You stated on record that Bessie Pew
- 10 | told you I had her car all the way up to the afternoon.
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 | Q. How could I have Bessie Pew car all the way until the
- 13 afternoon? When I came and got my Cadillac out of Bessie
- 14 Pew, I did not have Bessie Pew car.
- 15 A. She said she did not know how you got to her work, but
- 16 that you came to her work late in the afternoon on the 24th
- 17 | and took your Cadillac from her.
- 18 | Q. Detective Calhoun, concerning the Cadillac, you did a
- 19 | search warrant for that Cadillac, correct?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. The items that you obtained according to the inventory
- 22 | sheet, black bag containing 12-gauge shotgun shells, 22
- 23 | ammunition and 22.3 -- .223 ammo front seat of car?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 | O. A walkie-talkie, a Travelodge Hotel parking pass from

- 1 Atlanta, glove compartment, right?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. Empty box for Black & Mild cigars, front floor,
- 4 | correct?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Where did this other ticket thing come from concerning
- 7 | the video?
- 8 A. I believe it was on the rear seat, on the floor.
- 9 Q. It's not on the inventory sheet though, sir.
- 10 A. That is correct.
- 11 | Q. Why is that?
- 12 A. I really don't know, sir.
- 13 Q. But you took it out of the Cadillac, right? You took
- 14 | it out of my Cadillac, correct?
- 15 A. Yes, sir. I believe it's in evidence in our property
- 16 room.
- 17 Q. What else did you take out of there, sir?
- 18 A. I believe those were the only items.
- 19 O. I see that you took out another piece of paper
- 20 containing that that's my car. You took out three pieces
- 21 of paper, correct? Atlanta, Georgia parking ticket?
- 22 A. For the Travelodge.
- 23 | Q. A video slip?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. And a piece of paper stating that's Walter Pugh's car?

- 1 A. I believe we may have taken your vehicle registration
- 2 out of the glove compartment, yes, sir.
- 3 Q. But it's not on the inventory sheet?
- 4 A. No, sir.
- 5 | O. But it's into evidence?
- 6 A. It's in our property room. As far as evidence --
- 7 | Q. I got it on file that you --
- 8 THE COURT: Wait. Wait. You've got to let the
- 9 witness finish his answers. Okay?
- 10 A. As far as what is being used as evidence, I don't know
- 11 | what exactly the prosecutor is going to use to put into
- 12 evidence, but the vehicle registration we took to prove
- 13 ownership of the vehicle.
- 14 O. But I'm saying you took those items out of my
- 15 | Cadillac. Why are they not on this inventory sheet if you
- 16 took them out of the Cadillac?
- 17 A. I have no answer for that, sir.
- 18 DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Excuse me for a minute,
- 19 Judge.
- 20 THE COURT: Certainly.
- 21 BY DEFENDANT W. PUGH:
- 22 | Q. Sir, didn't you take an oath concerning that matter?
- 23 | You swore to tell the truth on the matter of things that
- 24 | you took out of that car?
- 25 | A. Yes, sir.

- 1 Q. But yet you said that was all that you took, but you
- 2 | took more items out of that car that's not on this oath
- 3 that you took?
- 4 A. Those are the items that I wrote down, sir, and I
- 5 believe that's all we took. I was not the only officer on
- 6 the search, and the items were sealed into bags. I didn't
- 7 know what Detective Cifuentes put in the bag other than
- 8 | what he told me.
- 9 Q. But you are a professional, sir. You know the things
- 10 | that came out of that car should have went on this
- 11 inventory list. You just do not take things out of
- 12 people's cars and it's not on the inventory list. That's a
- 13 violation of the Fourth Amendment, sir. Are you aware of
- 14 that?
- 15 A. If you're telling me that's a violation, sir, then
- 16 | that's --
- 17 | Q. That's the law, sir. You know that's the law, sir.
- 18 You're a law officer.
- 19 THE COURT: Let's not get argumentative with the
- 20 | witness. I get your point. It's for the Court to
- 21 determine what's a violation of law and what's not, not the
- 22 | witness.
- DEFENDANT W. PUGH: I'm sorry. Thank you.
- 24 BY DEFENDANT W. PUGH:
- 25 Q. You got a search warrant -- no, not a search

- 1 | warrant -- excuse me -- a consent form to search Mr. Cortes
- 2 | Renfro's establishment, home, residence, correct?
- 3 A. At 11979 Wincanton Road, that is correct, sir.
- 4 Q. That consent form consists of his property, right, his
- 5 personal things, correct?
- 6 A. It allowed us to search his home, sir. He told us he
- 7 owned the home or the residence there.
- 8 Q. Did that consent form, sir, authorize you to search my
- 9 personal belongings, the bag?
- 10 A. The consent form, sir, authorized us to take any
- 11 letters, papers, materials or other property which is
- 12 | contraband or may be used as evidence in criminal or civil
- 13 proceedings.
- 14 | O. His personal things. I'm talking about the bag. The
- 15 bag was my bag. I did not give you the consent form. I
- 16 | did not sign the consent form. You did not have a search
- 17 | warrant to take my bag. I'm saying that you know that
- 18 | Walter Pugh Jr. was at that resident. I'm saying, if you
- 19 knew Walter Pugh, Jr. was there, you had time -- you had
- 20 enough time to get a search warrant. Correct?
- 21 | A. We could have gotten a search warrant, yes, sir.
- 22 O. Knowing that Walter Pugh, Jr. was there and knowing
- 23 | that he probably has some contraband there or whatever
- 24 | personal things, don't you think that a warrant would have
- 25 been reasonable?

- 1 A. We took what we believed at the time to be the most
- 2 reasonable course of action, sir.
- 3 Q. Why you did not ask me to search my personal
- 4 belongings?
- 5 A. We tried to talk to you, sir, but you told us you
- 6 | would not talk to us.
- 7 Q. So you did not have my consent, correct?
- 8 A. You wouldn't talk to us at all, sir, so no.
- 9 Q. So you did not have my consent, right?
- 10 A. I answered no, sir.
- 11 | Q. Thank you. Concerning Bessie Pew's car again, you
- 12 | said you found a piece of latex glove. Did Bessie Pew
- 13 | state that that was Walter Pugh's?
- 14 A. Bessie Pew said it was not hers.
- 15 Q. Did Bessie Pew say -- did she say it was Walter Pugh?
- 16 | She said it was her car, but did she say that was Walter
- 17 | Pugh's piece of glove?
- 18 A. I told you what Ms. Pew said, sir. She said it was
- 19 not hers.
- 20 Q. So it wasn't Walter Pugh, right?
- 21 A. I cannot say that, sir.
- DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Excuse me.
- 23 THE COURT: Certainly.
- DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Thank you, Mr. Calhoun.
- THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Pugh?

DEFENDANT W. PUGH: No, ma'am. 1 THE COURT: All right. Anything further of this 2 witness, Ms. Cross? 3 MS. CROSS: Your Honor, just two follow-up 4 questions if I may. 5 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 6 7 BY MS. CROSS: Detective Calhoun, at the time that the consent for 8 Mr. Renfro's home was obtained, was Tyreese Pugh a 9 fugitive? 10 11 Α. Yes, ma'am. And did you have any knowledge or information as to 12 whether or not Mr. Tyreese Pugh was in the home? 13 Walter Pugh told the sheriff's deputies that his son 14 Α. was in the home and that he was concerned for his safety. 15 Should we go in the home and there be problems, I guess 16 that's what he was worried about. 17 18 MS. CROSS: Thank you. No further questions, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Pugh, anything further of this 20 21 witness? 22 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY DEFENDANT W. PUGH: 23 Excuse me. Mr. Calhoun, my attorney just brought to 24 my attention that you stated earlier that I didn't have no 25

```
conversation for you, but then you just stated that we had
 1
2
    conversation.
          No, sir. I said you wouldn't talk to me. You did
 3
     talk to the Hamilton County Sheriff's deputies about your
4
    son being in the house.
5
          Sir, the only one that I spoke to is you and the FBI
6
    Q.
    agent. I did not speak to no Hamilton County sheriffs.
7
          That was not the information that was provided to me,
8
9
    sir.
10
               DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Thank you, sir.
               Thank you, Judge.
11
               MS. CROSS: No further questions, Your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: Thank you, Detective Calhoun. You
13
14
    are excused.
               Any additional witnesses for the government,
15
    Ms. Cross?
16
17
               MS. CROSS: No, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
18
19
               Mr. Pugh, do you wish to present any evidence?
               THE DEFENDANT: Not at this particular time,
20
21
    ma'am.
               THE COURT: All right. Then the Court will take
22
    this matter under submission. I'm going to ask -- you're
23
24
    going to provide a legal memo to us by August 28th?
```

DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Yes.

```
THE COURT: Mr. Pugh, you're welcome to do that.
1
     I will tell you at this point, though, that my inclination
2
    is to deny the motion to suppress just because I want you
 3
    to have notice of adequate time to prepare for trial,
4
    because this matter is set for trial September the 3rd,
5
    which is, I think, about a week and a half away. Yes.
6
7
    We're looking at about ten days, something like that.
               So, you know, subject to my seeing something in
8
9
    your memo that I haven't thought of, it's likely that I
10
    will deny the motion to suppress, and the trial will go
     forward on the 3rd.
11
               So I guess at this point we're going to have the
12
13
     final pretrial conference. Does anybody need a break
14
    before we start that?
               MS. CROSS: No, Your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: Anybody want a break? All right.
16
    Then let's talk.
17
18
               MR. FELSON: Your Honor, I'm sorry. Tyreese says
    he needs a bathroom break.
19
               THE COURT: All right. Let me ask Mr. Riley, how
20
21
     long do you need for a break?
22
               DEPUTY MARSHAL RILEY: Ten minutes.
               THE COURT: All right. Let's take a ten-minute
23
     break.
24
               (Recess at 2:25 p.m.)
25
```

AFTER RECESS 1 THE COURT: All right. I have to find my little 2 checklist here. All right. Let's start with counsel. 3 Who's going to be sitting at counsel table, and who's going 4 to be representing the U.S? You, Ms. Cross? 5 MS. CROSS: Mr. Thapar and I are co-counsel, and 6 Special Agent Terry Moran of the FBI. 7 THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. -- I'm trying to 8 9 think of how we can refer to you. I know that you're Walter Pugh, Jr., and you're Tyreese Pugh. Do you have 10 a -- are you junior, too? 11 12 DEFENDANT T. PUGH: No, I'm senior. 13 THE COURT: Okay. It's reversed. 14 I'm trying to think, for purposes of the record, would you like me maybe to explain to the jury at the 15 beginning, maybe when you're doing voir dire, when we are 16 17 picking the jury, that you are father and son, and, even 18 though you're Walter Pugh, Jr. and you're Tyreese Pugh, 19 Sr., that's to differentiate so I don't have to say 20 Mr. Walter Pugh and Mr. Tyreese Pugh every time? I could 21 do that. 22 Would you like me to do that? I could refer to 23 you as Mr. Pugh the elder or Mr. Pugh, Sr. and Mr. Pugh. What would you like? How would you like --24

DEFENDANT T. PUGH:

25

Tyreese Pugh.

```
1
               THE COURT:
                          Just want to -- just Tyreese Pugh?
              DEFENDANT T. PUGH:
                                   Okay.
2
               THE COURT: I could say Tyreese Pugh or Walter
3
4
    Pugh.
5
              DEFENDANT T. PUGH: Pugh the son and Pugh the
     father.
6
7
              THE COURT: Mr. Pugh, the father?
              DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Yes, ma'am.
8
9
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pugh the father.
10
               MR. FELSON: Judge, I think I'm a little more
    comfortable with Tyreese Pugh, because I'm trying to --
11
              THE COURT: Separate. Okay. I hear you.
12
              MR. FELSON: Tyreese Pugh would be fine.
13
              THE COURT: All right. I'll just say Mr. Walter
14
    Pugh and Mr. Tyreese Pugh.
15
              What I want to do is, if somebody looks at the
16
    transcript later, they're not going to know which one of
17
18
    you I was referring to unless I use something to
    differentiate you. So we'll do it that way. Okay.
19
20
              Mr. Felson, you're representing Tyreese Pugh.
               And, Mr. Andrews, you're going to be standby
21
22
    counsel for Walter Pugh.
23
              MR. ANDREWS: That is correct, Your Honor.
24
               THE COURT: Let me just, even though, as you all
25
    know, the Court can't be involved in any plea negotiations,
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I just wanted to inquire as to whether or not there is a possibility that there might be any pleas or whether this is definitely going to trial. MS. CROSS: Your Honor, I believe at this point it's definitely going to go to trial. There are no plea offers that have been extended. THE COURT: All right. Does the government intend to make any? MS. CROSS: Well, actually, Your Honor, there was one offer made to Mr. Tyreese Pugh, but it's been rejected. So there is no additional offers at this time. THE COURT: Okay. Then there is no more motions to be filed. The motion deadline has past. Let me talk about, though, some other deadlines. Exhibit lists and witness lists, those are submitted to the Court, only those don't get filed with the clerk's office. The Court would like those lists, the exhibit lists and the witness lists, this Friday, which is six business days before trial. Is that doable for everyone? MS. CROSS: Yes, Your Honor. MR. FELSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. And I'll get into that in a minute how I would like you to mark your exhibits, too. Why don't I do that now so I don't forget?

Give me an idea of how many exhibits the

1 government has. 2 MS. CROSS: Your Honor, the government has 22 exhibits, and, for example, Exhibit 1 has eight parts to 3 it. 4 THE COURT: 5 Okay. But the number is 22. MS. CROSS: 6 All right. THE COURT: 7 Because Number 1 are basically MS. CROSS: 8 9 photographs. Okay. I think --10 THE COURT: And then what about, Mr. Felson, how many 11 exhibits? 12 MR. FELSON: Actually, we have our witness 13 Dr. Fulero, the identification witness I discussed, is 14 probably going to have a curriculum vitae, and he may have 15 a couple of exhibits, but, other than that, we have a 16 notice of alibi we're going to file; although it's a little 17 vaque, because it's hard to pin down, you know, since they 18 19 arrested him sometime later, to find out exactly where he was two weeks earlier at a specific time is kind of 20 difficult for a 20, early 20's year old gentleman. 21 did want to make a point to say we are asserting an alibi 22 that he wasn't there, although we may not be able to say 23 exactly where he was at that particular time a couple weeks 24

earlier, but I want to make that clear.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't know if the Court requires a notice of alibi in that instance. I'm putting the state on notice now that we're claiming we weren't there. And I think they knew that. THE COURT: Ms. Cross? MS. CROSS: I think that the defense has an obligation to file something in the record about the alibi defenses. We were not formally -- we didn't formally know that he was going to raise that defense, although we suspected. THE COURT: Let me take a look at the federal rules. MR. FELSON: Is my position clear on that, Your In other words, he can't exactly say where he was Honor? at that moment, since he was arrested sometime later. THE COURT: No. I hear you. But I think there is a federal rule about alibis. I think it's 12. MS. CROSS: Rule 12.1, I believe, Your Honor. THE COURT: Yes. Let's see what that says here. Do you have a copy of the rule in front of you, Ms. Cross? MS. CROSS: I do, Your Honor. THE COURT: Yes. The Court does, too. I would like to hear from you, how you feel the rule applies to what Mr. Felson just said. MS. CROSS: Actually, Your Honor, he does not

have an obligation unless we provide a written demand for notice, which we have not.

THE COURT: All right. Okay. And I assume,

Ms. Cross, that what you're saying, and correct me if I'm

wrong, that, if the government doesn't make a written

demand, then the defendant is not obligated to respond, in

which point Rule 12.1(b) is not applicable, correct?

MS. CROSS: That is correct, Your Honor. That's my reading.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Felson?

MR. FELSON: So I just won't -- I won't have to file anything?

anything. What I'm saying here is that under Rule 12.1(b), notice of alibi, here under the federal rules, it says that within ten days thereafter, but in no event less than ten days before trial, unless the Court otherwise directs, the attorney for the government shall serve upon the defendant or the defendant's attorney a written notice stating the names and addresses of the witnesses upon whom the government intends to rely to establish the defendant's presence at the scene of the alleged offense and any other witnesses to be relied on to rebut testimony of any of the defendant's alibi witnesses.

So I think what Ms. Cross or I believe what

Ms. Cross and I just said is that the government has no obligation to do that if you don't have an obligation to serve them with written notice. I just want to make sure everybody is clear on that.

MR. FELSON: Oh, okay. Well, let me put it this way. We are -- I mean, I can do that in writing, but obviously implicit in the defense of not guilty is, I guess, well it could be different defenses, but he wasn't there. That implies an alibi, except that an alibi seems required to be evidence that you were somewhere else. In other words, you're saying you were somewhere else as opposed to there. But that's not what we're really saying, because we don't know where he was a week or two weeks before he was arrested.

THE COURT: You're saying your alibi was he was not at the bank when it was robbed.

MR. FELSON: That's right. He was not there.

Now, if they're going to have witnesses that they're going to submit to me that are going to testify -- I guess try to testify that he was there at the bank, and so they would still have to provide me that witness list.

THE COURT: In federal court, they don't have to provide a witness list in criminal cases. What they -- what we do have is pretty liberal discovery as a practice here in the Southern District of Ohio, and I assume that

you have already had that discovery. 1 2 MS. CROSS: We have, Your Honor. And, in fact, out of an abundance of caution, we have sent Mr. Felson a 3 letter regarding the specific witness that will put 4 5 Mr. Tyreese Pugh in the bank. MR. FELSON: All right. I think it's just 6 7 semantics here, and we're all on the same page. I have got 8 my witness list. I requested it, and et cetera. I just 9 want to make sure there is nothing I'm missing here. 10 THE COURT: All right. That's fine. So the Court would like the exhibit lists, the witness lists by 11 Friday, but you don't have to serve them on the other side. 12 13 The government can go ahead and use numbers, and, where you 14 have got subparts to the numbers, then you can just use letters like 1-A, B, C, D, something like that. 15 With regard to the defendants, Mr. Felson, it 16 17 sounds like you're going to have, what, under five 18 exhibits? MR. FELSON: That's probably right. 19 THE COURT: Why don't I have you -- then why 20 don't you take -- why don't I have you take numbers 1 21 22 through 9, or actually I'm going to have you do letters. I'm sorry. Let me have you do letters. Why don't we have 23 Tyreese Pugh will be letters. So you will start with A, 24

and then, if there are subparts to any of your exhibits,

1 you will go like A-1, 2, 3 whatever. And Mr. Walter Pugh, are you going to have any 2 exhibits? 3 4 DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Yes, ma'am. 5 THE COURT: Do you have any idea of the number, just approximately, so I can figure out? 6 7 DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Twelve. 8 THE COURT: About 12? 9 DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Yes, ma'am. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we have you -- oh, I know what we can do. Here's what we'll do. I have got 11 this all figured out. Why don't we have -- let me ask 12 13 this. Who's going to be going first in the order of 14 defenses? Normally, one defendant goes first and then the 15 second defendant. It usually goes in the same order. 16 other words, somebody takes the lead. 17 Have you decided between you which defendant is 18 going to go first? 19 MR. FELSON: Well, we haven't discussed that. I'm assuming we're going to discuss that later today or 20 21 within -- before Friday we're going to have that answer. 22 My guess is that, in one sense, I sort of want to go 23 second, but, on the other hand, I maybe understand for practical reasons I ought to go first. 24 25 THE COURT: I will leave it to you. Yes, I think

it might be easier if you go first, but that will be your decision who is.

Let me suggest this. Since it sounds like, well, whoever decides to be the first defendant to question, why don't you take A through Z? And why don't we have the second defendant for their exhibits use double A, double B, double C. So we will know that anything with a letter on it are the defendant's exhibits, and that's how we will know the difference between one defendant and the other defendant. One of you will be A through Z, and the other will be double A, double B, double C for your exhibits. Okay?

All right. The Court also wants, if you have any proposed jury instructions or any proposed voir dire instructions or any trial briefs, the Court would like those as well this Friday.

With regard to the jury instructions, the Court uses Sixth Circuit Pattern Instructions first. If they're not in the Sixth Circuit pattern instructions, then the next thing we look at would be Sixth Circuit case law and Devitt and Blackmar, which now has a new name, but the set of federal pattern jury instructions. So, if you have any proposed jury instructions, we would like those by this Friday as well. And this Friday is August 23rd.

If you have trial briefs, and they're not at all

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

necessary, but if you wish to file a trial brief, it would be helpful to have it this Friday, but I'll allow you to file it up to the day of trial if you want to file that later. The Court intends to do all the voir dire. In other words, the Court's going to question all the prospective witnesses. I'll try -- I'll cover things in general with the jury like, you know, any reason why they feel they can't serve, if they have ever been the victim of a crime, you know, and if that would influence their decision in any way, sort of general questions. And then, if there are any specific questions you would like the Court to ask the prospective jurors, I would like you to submit those to me in writing by this Friday. Do you understand what I'm saying, Mr. Walter Pugh? DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Yes, ma'am. MR. FELSON: Would it be possible to have until Monday on these? THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Felson, you may have until Monday. Is that just for the proposed voir dire instructions, or you want on jury instructions, too? want it on everything? MR. FELSON: Whatever you can muster, I would love to have this weekend.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Why don't we do it for everything, for everybody? Let's go to Monday, because I would rather have something that you had time to -- adequate time to prepare. So everything will be due Monday, the 26th, that I have talked about, the exhibit lists, the witness lists, proposed jury instructions, trial briefs if you have any, and I'm not saying that the Court needs them. I don't think we do, but, if you wish to file one, you can file it then, and any proposed voir dire instructions. And attached to the criminal trial procedure are -- I think that is where we have got our list, Mike, of questions? We have got a list of questions that we generally ask. So you can review that. And then, if there are things in addition to that that you would like the Court to ask, if you would submit that list to us, that would be helpful. One more thing with exhibits. For the government, I don't know if the defendant is going to be able to -- I know Mr. Felson, you will be able to make copies of your exhibits. And, Mr. Andrews, would you assist Mr. Walter Pugh in making copies? MR. ANDREWS: We have discussed that already, and

Mr. Pugh is going to provide. There are a couple of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

exhibits which I'm going to have to get for him. We were then going to put them together in a three-ring binder. It will be in his handwriting, but still bound.

THE COURT: What the Court would like to have from all parties is either an original or set of copies for the witness, a set for the Court, and a set for my law clerk. So we are talking about three sets altogether. if you will have those marked ahead of time and if you need exhibit stickers, my courtroom deputy can provide you with If you will have all that marked, and then you won't need to ask, as the government did today, to hand a particular exhibit to the witness. They will already have So all you have to do is say to the witness, you know, I would like to direct your attention to what's been marked as Exhibit A. Can you identify that? And then you have the witness identify it. If the witness then identifies it and you want to move for its admission, then you will ask the Court to admit it. And I think that will cut down on the cumbersomeness of getting exhibits in.

Any questions on any of those things?

All right. Then let's go on to pending motions.

Defendant Tyreese Pugh currently has two motions pending,
one for discovery and bill of particulars, and two for
additional discovery. Defendant Tyreese Pugh's motion for
discovery asks disclosure of all statements of witnesses

```
and the co-defendant background information on witnesses,
1
    police records and recordings regarding the crime, as well
2
    as any Brady or Jencks Act material that has not yet been
3
    disclosed.
4
              Ms. Cross, where are we on that motion, those two
5
6
    motions?
               MS. CROSS: Your Honor, we have provided all the
7
    discovery to which the defendant is entitled. We have also
8
    provided over what probably isn't Brady, but we were trying
9
     to be very cautious and did provide information that we
10
     thought was Brady to Mr. Pugh. I think everything has been
11
    provided.
12
               THE COURT: To Tyreese Pugh?
13
               MS. CROSS:
                           Tyreese Pugh.
14
               THE COURT: What about Jencks Act material, has
15
     that already been provided as well?
16
               MS. CROSS: That has not been provided, Your
17
     Honor, but we will provide it the day before trial or
18
     whatever the Court's standard order is.
19
               THE COURT: All of it the day before trial for
20
     all your witnesses.
21
               MS. CROSS: For the next day?
22
                           So you will provide it the day before
               THE COURT:
23
     for the witnesses.
24
```

MS. CROSS:

25

That's coming up the next day.

THE COURT: Half a day, great.

And, Walter Pugh, what about you, do you have any idea the number of witnesses you might have, if any?

DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Not at this particular time, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. After the first morning of trial, the first morning we will start at 9:30.

Once we have the jury selected, then we will start at 9 o'clock on subsequent mornings.

We need to do an order of trial, so if you will let -- if, Mr. Andrews and Mr. Felson, you will advise my law clerks what the order is going to be by this Friday, that will be helpful to us.

MR. FELSON: We can do that.

MR. ANDREWS: Your Honor, in talking to my client, I just need some reassurance. He would, and I think this is what Mr. Felson was saying earlier, in the order of handling witnesses, et cetera, my client would like to go second.

I believe Mr. Felson expressed a preference for going first. His only reassurance that he wants was that, if Mr. Felson cross-examined the witness, he himself could cross-examine the witness as well. I just reassured him of that. He has absolutely no problem with that and is comfortable with what he's saying. That would hopefully

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The government said they don't even intend to call Mr. Dixon, so I think, Mr. Pugh, it may not be any legal issue for you at all. DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Trial is going to take place in this room. We will start the first morning at 9:30 with jury selection. Do we have any idea on length of trial? MS. CROSS: Your Honor, we believe that the government's case will take two days' proof. THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Felson, what about any idea on the defense's case yet? MR. FELSON: Two witnesses that I know of. The defendant may take the stand. We haven't decided yet. We will make that decision last minute. THE COURT: That is in addition to the two witnesses? MR. FELSON: In addition to the two, yes. THE COURT: Okay. So are yours short or long witnesses? MR. FELSON: Well, the identification witness, I don't think he's going to be more than an hour. I can't imagine that. But that depends on the cross, but I don't think I'll need him for more than an hour. So I may be done in less than half a day.

THE COURT: All right. That's agreeable to the 1 2 Court. Anything you wish to say in that regard, 3 4 Mr. Felson? MR. FELSON: No, Your Honor. I have no reason to 5 believe that there is anything else. 6 THE COURT: Then Defendant Walter Pugh also has 7 two pending motions, one for the suppression of evidence, 8 9 which we heard today, and, two, an in limine motion to exclude evidence of prior bad acts or incarceration. 10 The Court is going to address the suppression 11 motion in a separate order that will be filed after I 12 13 receive Walter Pugh's memo of law next week. 14 With regard to Walter Pugh's motion in limine, it asks that any prior bad acts evidence Under Federal Rule of 15 Evidence 404(b) be excluded, including testimony for a 16 Mr. Dixon, that he met Defendant Walter Pugh in prison. 17 18 The government has responded that it does not intend to 19 introduce any evidence under rule 404(b) and does not know 20 of or intend to call a Mr. Dixon. The Court does not intend to rule on the motion 21 22 in limine at this time, because the Sixth Circuit discourages us from doing that until it arises in the 23 evidence. But it sounds to me like it may never arise in 24

the evidence.

1 help the Court. 2 THE COURT: The only thing I ask is that you don't cover the same cross-examination. I don't want you 3 to do the identical cross-examination Mr. Felson did, but 4 anything that you have additional to that is fine. You 5 certainly have a right to cross-examine as well, Walter 6 Pugh. Okay? 7 DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Thank you. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. Then Tyreese Pugh's counsel will go first, and then Walter Pugh. 10 Do the parties want separation of witnesses, 11 which means that -- do you want witnesses excluded from the 12 courtroom if they're not testifying at that time? 13 14 MR. FELSON: Yes, on behalf of Tyreese. THE COURT: All right. Then we will have a 15 separation of witnesses. 16 17 Do you anticipate any stipulations? That means facts that you all agree upon. 18 MS. CROSS: We have not had any agreement or even 19 any decision on stipulations at this point, Your Honor, but 20 two areas that -- two issues would be FDIC could be 21 stipulated to, as well as Mr. Tyreese Pugh's prior 22 conviction. He's charged in one of the counts as being a 23

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I will leave that to you

felon in possession of a firearm.

24

1 to negotiate. If you want --2 Do you know what a stipulation is, Mr. Pugh? MR. ANDREWS: Actually, Mr. Walter Pugh and I 3 have discussed the stipulation as to the federal insurance, 4 5 My client looked at me and candidly said what bank isn't, and I said very few since the mid-1980's in Ohio, 6 7 which, even where he was in the mid-1980's, he knows. we will stipulate that it was a federally insured bank; is 8 9 that correct, Walter? 10 DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Yes, sir. MR. ANDREWS: That was our decision. 11 THE COURT: And Mr. Felson with Tyreese Pugh as 12 13 well? 14 MR. FELSON: Yes. THE COURT: What I require is that there be a 15 written pleading captioned "stipulation" setting forth what 16 17 the stipulation is, signed by all counsel or, in this case, Mr. Felson, Ms. Cross and Mr. Walter Pugh, so we have that 18 for the record. 19 20 MS. CROSS: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And believe it or not, Mr. Walter 21 22 Pugh, when I was an assist U.S. attorney back in the '70s, 23 we did have to prove that in those days, and we actually

had to get the certificate off the wall of the bank and

bring a bank officer in to testify that they were in fact

24

```
an FDIC bank. I'm glad it's a little easier these days.
1
              Okay. Opening statements, how long would
2
    everyone like for opening statements?
 3
              MS. CROSS: Mr. Thapar is going to do opening,
 4
 5
    and he says 20 minutes.
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Felson?
6
              MR. FELSON: We both -- I think Mr. Walter Pugh
 7
     just said that I can do the opening. So I'll do it for
8
     both of us. I don't think that's appropriate. We can both
9
10
     do them, I think.
              THE COURT: You can both make opening statements.
11
              MR. FELSON: I only need about ten minutes for
12
     Tyreese. Certainly wouldn't need much more than that.
13
              THE COURT: And, Walter Pugh?
14
              DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Ten to 15 minutes, Your
15
16
     Honor.
               THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. That will be
17
     fine. Any other problems anybody is anticipating that we
18
     ought to discuss now? All right.
19
               DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Yes.
20
               THE COURT: Okay?
21
               DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Far as me being able to
22
     participate in my legal process, Judge, Your Honor, I would
23
     like the Court to know I'm in administrative segregation,
24
     and I don't know why. I filed a grievance, and nobody told
25
```

me nothing. They won't even answer me when I talk to them.

I been on this ever since June 20th.

And then I'm doing my legal work. I constantly work on my legal work, and I'm shook down four times a day. They come in and go through my stuff. Then I have to go right back over it, start over again and sort it out. And I have constant legal work. This is constant.

I have been in administrative segregation for 60 days now. Nobody told me nothing. I come out for an hour, one hour law library and one hour for a shower and whatever. That's it. When I travel, they shackle me down. They call me Hannibal on "Silence of the Lambs," Hannibal. That's how they keep me. Then, every four to five days, they move me. Every four to five days, I'm packing up, moving. I been in the whole system in the Justice Center, the whole north side, south side, every cell. That's a problem.

THE COURT: I think the only thing I can maybe do something about, again because I don't control the Hamilton County Justice Center and neither does the marshal, is to preserve the integrity of your papers.

Mr. Riley, can we talk to the jail about that and make sure that his papers are kept intact, his legal research?

DEPUTY MARSHAL RILEY: Certainly, Your Honor. I

know they have been allowing him access to the law library and his legal documents.

One thing I would like to bring up at this point is clothing for the defendants.

THE COURT: Thank you. I was going to ask that.

DEPUTY MARSHAL RILEY: Counsel needs to provide
that clothing at the jails at least on Friday before,
because we have a holiday on that Monday.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ANDREWS: Actually, Walter Pugh and I have already discussed his clothing, and I don't understand the cutoff day of Friday.

DEPUTY MARSHAL RILEY: We have a holiday the day before trial.

MR. ANDREWS: I'm glad you brought that up as for him being moved. All that is, within my experience of seeing him, true. And I do know that, when I go see my client, I'm also -- my briefcase has been gone through every time. And, as you know, I'm in the Justice Center probably as regularly as any other attorney in Hamilton County. This is the only client I have ever had where they do that.

We have asked for an explanation. The best we can get is somebody at some time heard some rumor that I was bringing a gun to Mr. Pugh, which I think can go under

```
the patently absurd doctrine, but that's all we have ever
1
    been told. And I heard that by way of rumor.
2
               He is going through some problems with that.
 3
     the Court can intervene in any way, that would be helpful,
 4
    particularly on the use of his time in the very small legal
5
     library they have there would be helpful.
6
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Riley, can you see
7
    what you can do about that? I would like to increase his
8
    time in the law library for sure and preserve the integrity
9
    of his documents.
10
               DEPUTY MARSHAL RILEY: (Nodding affirmatively.)
11
               THE COURT: Will you let the Court know, tomorrow
12
     or Friday?
13
               DEPUTY MARSHAL RILEY: (Nodding affirmatively.)
14
               THE COURT: Thank you. Oh, Mr. Riley, before you
15
     came in, I brought up the issue with the defendants about
16
     stun belts and in place of leg irons, and I guess -- do you
17
     want any more explanation from the marshal about that?
18
               DEFENDANT W. PUGH:
                                   Me?
19
               THE COURT: Yes, either one of you.
20
               DEFENDANT W. PUGH: I would like to see the
21
     instruction on the belt. I would like to read it.
22
               THE COURT: See what it is?
23
               DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Yes.
24
               THE COURT: Is that possible, Mr. Riley?
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEPUTY MARSHAL RILEY: I don't know what he's referring to. We notify them before the stun belt is placed. We have a list of instructions that they're notified on, on when the belt will be activated, what is expected of them while they're wearing it, and they have the opportunity to read that, and we ask them to sign it at that time. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ANDREWS: And this is a belt that will send a shock through him if he does something like head for the door? DEPUTY MARSHAL RILEY: Correct. DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Raise my hand. MR. ANDREWS: As long as he is acting as his own attorney, there is no problem. DEPUTY MARSHAL RILEY: Correct. MR. ANDREWS: There is a list of things that he will know. DEPUTY MARSHAL RILEY: It's rather explicit when we will activate it. THE COURT: So I'll leave that to the defendants to work out with the marshals if there are any questions about that. Okay. Let me talk about selecting the jury. Normally, in a criminal case, in a single-defendant case,

the defendant has ten preemptory challenges and the government has six.

Do you know what a preemptory challenge is, Walter?

DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Excuse me?

THE COURT: Do you know what a preemptory challenge is? Let me talk about challenges in general. When you have a jury, a prospective jury, a panel, there are two kinds of challenges, two reasons you can throw people off of the panel. One is that they have got some kind of bias or reason that they can't sit and be a fair and impartial juror. They might say, you know, I just believe if the government indicts somebody they must be guilty. That person can't serve, because they have a bias against any defendant. So the Court would excuse that person, would not allow that person to sit.

Once we have gone through the panel for those kind of people, people who can't be fair and impartial, and we have excused all of them, or people who say I'm going to have surgery tomorrow and I can't be here, there is some kind of excuse like that, once we have excused all those folks, then the people who are remaining you have a right to excuse or if you -- if it's one defendant, you would have the right to excuse ten people for any reason or no reason at all. You don't have to give the Court a reason.

You can just ask that they be excused. The government can do that with six people.

My question for you is, since we have two defendants, you could have a larger number of people excused if you want, in which case the government's challenges, the government's — these are called preemptory challenges, the ones that you can excuse both for no reason or any reason at all. Is ten an adequate number for you, or do you want that increased, in which case the government's challenges will also increase?

MR. FELSON: I'll respond to Tyreese. Ten would be sufficient.

DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Ten.

THE COURT: All right, fine. Then we will go with ten challenges for the defendants jointly and six challenges for the government.

As I mentioned to you, the Court is going to conduct the voir dire. If you have questions that you would like me to ask the panel, please submit those to me in writing by Monday, and as long as there is not something objectionable in them, I will be glad to ask the panel those questions.

In addition to that, you're entitled to get juror questionnaires, and those will be available about the middle of next week. You can get these -- your counsel and

Mr. Andrews can obtain those from the jury commissioner, Linda Wilmoth. I think they're generally available on Tuesday or Wednesday, and I guess we need to figure out -- I'm trying to think what do we do when we have people in jail that are acting as their own counsel? I know we take a lot of the information -- we take the names of the prospective jurors out, and I believe we take out their addresses, but you know about their educational background, their age, their marital status, their employment history. So you have got a pretty good idea who these folks are, you know, what kind of folks they are.

What I would like you to do is refer to them by number. If you have any questions about them, I'll refer to them by number, and I'll ask you to do that. The morning of trial, you will be given a list of the numbers, of the people's numbers, and they will be seated in the box in order of their numbers.

Steve, how are we going to do that now that we have a bigger box? Which way do you number from, the front row first or back row? Left to right? Left to right.

So the front row will be one to six. The back row will be seven to 14. And then the remaining people, about how many more will we have, about 16 more or so? We will have a total 40 people so we will have 26 more in the back behind you, and they will be sitting in order. So, in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

other words, if number 14 is here, then seated behind Walter Pugh will be number 15 and go 15 through whatever, and then all the rest in those rows so that you know who is who. Any questions on that? DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Excuse me. THE COURT: Go ahead. Do you want to talk for a minute? That's fine. (Defendants and counsel confer off the record.) Thank you, Your Honor. MR. ANDREWS: THE COURT: Once the questioning of the prospective panel is completed, I'll excuse all the prospective jurors, and I'll ask you -- I'll tell you first who all I'm going to excuse for cause, who I find can't be a fair or impartial juror or can't sit for some health reason or whatever. Then I'll ask both -- I'll ask all parties if you have any additional challenges for cause, anybody that I haven't excused for cause for a reason that they can't be fair and impartial that you believe should be excused for that reason. Once we have completed all the challenges for cause, then we will start doing the preemptory challenges. And the way we do the preemptory challenges is we start with the defendant. It goes defendant, government, and the

defendant gets two challenges first, then the government

one, defendants two, government one, until we get down to an even number that are left, and then from then on it's defendant one, government one until you both have used up all your challenges.

You can challenge the jurors in any order you want. It doesn't have to be the first 14. If you want to start with number 16, that's fine, and then excuse number two, that's fine. You go in numerical order back and forth. I don't care. They don't have to be the people just in the box. They can be the people behind the rail. You can do it in any way you want.

Are there any questions on the challenges?

DEFENDANT W. PUGH: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: Did I miss anything? All right.

Once we have -- everyone has used up all of their challenges, we will take a recess. My courtroom deputy Steve Snyder will bring the final jury in, and they will be in the box for us to proceed, and I'll excuse the remaining folks.

If we need to do sidebars, if we need to do something outside the presence of the jury, I'll excuse the jury, have them go into the jury room, so I can talk to you in open court.

We will do all questioning from counsel table. I think for the trial I'm either going to have you all

standing or sitting. I don't want you doing both things. 1 I think it makes it easiest if I just have you sitting. 2 don't know. How does everybody feel about that? Any 3 preference here? 4 MR. FELSON: I don't have a preference. 5 THE COURT: Ms. Cross? Mr. Thapar? 6 MS. CROSS: We have no preference, Your Honor. 7 We were just going to go along with whatever the defense 8 9 wanted. I think what I'm concerned about is, THE COURT: 10 with the microphone on the table, if you're standing it may 11 not pick your voice up. You know, if we had a different 12 13 kind of microphone. MR. ANDREWS: Your Honor, we did have a question. 14 Would you want opening and closing done seated, as well as 15 16 questioning or --THE COURT: What do -- you know, Mr. Snyder 17 reminded me what we did in the Waaqner case was we have a 18 little podium thing that we can put right on counsel table. 19 So you can stand behind that and address the jury right at 20 counsel table. 21 MR. ANDREWS: That would be fine. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Rich, my law clerk, has asked if 23 we want -- if I want to allow supplemental questions to be 24 submitted after you take a look at the questionnaires, and 25

the answer is yes. If there is anything after you get the questionnaires, if there is anything that you see in the questionnaires that makes you want to ask any additional questions of a particular juror, you can go ahead and submit those to the Court. If you would do it as soon as possible, that would be great.

I would say, I guess, we need to have Linda get them, the questionnaires, by about Tuesday, because I would like to get that by at least Thursday of next week so that we have got a chance to add those into my questions by Friday, because then we have got the holiday, and then we have got trial that Tuesday morning. So if you could get those to me by Thursday, the 29th, we will incorporate them, if we think they're appropriate, into my questions.

We have talked about marking exhibits. I think we have talked about just about everything.

Any questions by anybody?

MR. THAPAR: Your Honor, can I raise one? There was one related issue just regarding discovery pursuant to Rule 16(b), I guess. We have complied with the defendants' discovery requests as mentioned before.

Today it has come to our attention through this final pretrial conference that the defendants intend to offer documents and tangible objects possibly as evidence or as exhibits. In addition, Mr. Tyreese Pugh, we

understand, possibly has an expert witness. 1 Pursuant to 16(b)(1)(A) and (B), we request the 2 documents, tangible objects and reports of examinations. 3 We have made this request before in writing to the 4 defendants. In addition, pursuant -- we have provided our 5 expert report pursuant to 16(a)(1)(E), and we will request 6 the same pursuant to 16(b)(1)(C) of Mr. Tyreese Pugh, his 7 expert report, and that he comply with everything under 8 16(b)(1)(C). Thank you. 9 Thank you, Mr. Thapar. THE COURT: 10 Mr. Felson, do you have a response? 11 MR. FELSON: I think we actually had a motion 12 hearing on my expert a few weeks ago. 13 THE COURT: Was that before I was involved? 14 MR. FELSON: No. You ruled on it. You gave me 15 the finances to hire an identification expert. 16 MR. THAPAR: That is correct, Your Honor. You 17 gave him the finances, but that doesn't mean we have 18 received a report. 19 MR. FELSON: Okay. All right. 20 MR. THAPAR: It's not an issue with regards to 21 finances, just so I'm clear. 22 THE COURT: No. I understand that. You want the 23 24 report. Do you have a report, Mr. Felson?

MR. FELSON: No, I don't yet. I'm -- he's really just going to testify to -- I mean, you probably heard these experts before. They're from around the country. They're going to testify on the difficulty of making an eye witness identification when you have a brief look under stress and that kind of thing. It's not really specific to -- he's not going to do an interview or voir dire of this particular witness. It's just sort of a general concept. So there is not a report, per se, I don't think. There is general writings on the subject maybe.

MR. THAPAR: I think I'm misspeaking, Your Honor.

Maybe that's causing the confusion. I guess what we
wanted -- and perhaps that's the extent of it, and, if
that's the extent of it, we don't have any objection to him
not putting it in writing. I'm just going to read the
rule: Under the following circumstances, the defendant
shall, at the government's request, disclose to the
government a written summary of testimony that the
defendant intends to use under rules 702, 703 or 705 of the
Federal Rules of Evidence at trial. And then it goes on.

But that's what we're asking for. That's the total of what we're asking for. And if all it is is that identifications are difficult to make and that's all we're going to hear at trial, then we don't have an objection to him not providing us a written summary.

```
1
               We would like to see a curriculum vitae at some
 2
     point, and we understand that can come at a later day.
     and then the documents --
 3
 4
               THE COURT: Mr. Felson, can you provide a
 5
     curriculum vitae?
 6
               MR. FELSON: Yes. Just for the record, Tyreese
 7
     Pugh's motion for expert witness fees was granted on --
 8
               THE COURT: No. We're not disputing the fact
 9
     that it's being paid for. They're just asking under the
10
     rule that, if there is a report, that it be provided to
     them.
11
               MR. FELSON: I understand. There is no report
12
13
     per se.
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Andrews?
14
               MR. ANDREWS: As to Mr. Walter Pugh and I have
15
16
     discussed as to the tangible documents he may use, he'd --
17
               THE COURT: Can you talk to me, Mr. Andrews,
18
     because I can't hear you?
19
               MR. ANDREWS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. At the time
20
     when we provide that to the Court, which I understand is
21
     Monday, we will also provide a copy of those documents.
22
     Even though some of those are also included in the
23
     government's own list of exhibits, we will provide those to
24
     the government as well.
25
               MR. THAPAR: That's perfectly acceptable by us.
```

p	
1	If Mr. Tyreese Pugh has any, if that works for them, we
2	will appreciate that.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Felson, is that agreeable with
4	you?
5	MR. FELSON: Yes.
6	THE COURT: All right. Anything further,
7	counsel?
8	All right. Then the Court will see you on
9	September the 3rd. Yes?
10	DEFENDANT W. PUGH: Giving him his pen back.
11	THE COURT: Okay. The Court will see you all on
12	September 3rd. If there are any problems next week, please
13	let my law clerks know.
14	Mr. Rich is going to be the primary law clerk on
15	this case, but Ms. Stang is also going to be assisting. So
16	counsel can contact either one of my clerks if you have got
17	a question.
18	Thank you, everyone.
19	PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 3:30 P.M.
20	CERTIFICATE
21	I, Betty J. Schwab, the undersigned, do
22	hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
23	Botty & Sahwat
24	BETTY J. CCHWAB, RPR Official Reporter
25	